



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,948	05/22/2006	Tomoki Kato	PC26223A	9541
28523	7590	03/28/2011	EXAMINER	
PFIZER INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT Bld 114 M/S 9114 EASTERN POINT ROAD GROTON, CT 06340			GOON, SCARLETT Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2011	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

~IPGSGro@pfizer.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/595,948	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KATO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SCARLETT GOON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(2) Mr. Richard V. Zanzalari.

**Date of Interview:** 21 March 2011

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:04 pm

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*16, 23 and 29*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Zanzalari, Applicants' attorney, was contacted to discuss allowable subject matter. In order to put the claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner requested that Applicants amend independent claim 29 to further clarify that "B binds directly to the nitrogen atom on Het" to avoid potential 112/2<sup>nd</sup> issues as to where B binds on Het. With regards to matters of form, the Examiner further requested that the Markush group of claim 16 be amended to recite "selected from the group consisting of" so as to put the claims in proper Markush format. Additionally, the Examiner noted that for the compound recited on line 3 of claim 23, an extra hyphen was introduced into the nomenclature that should be deleted. Mr. Zanzalari indicated that the amendments with regards to matter of form would be okay, and further thought the amendment to claim 29 to overcome potential 112/2<sup>nd</sup> issues would be okay, but requested time to review the Specification to confirm that the amendments does not change the scope of the claims as desired by Applicants.*

  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/SCARLETT GOON/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)